

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,875	•	10/31/2003	Kazuhiro Machiguchi	2003_1587A	8992	
513	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
		LIND & PONACK, L	LEE, SIN J			
	2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTON, I	OC 20006-1021	1752			
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	lication No. Applicant(s)				
		10/697,875	MACHIGUCHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sin J. Lee	1752				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute pelly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 9-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/697,875 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1752** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurata et al (EP 0 483 693 A2).

Kurata teaches a *colored* photosensitive resin composition for the formation of a colored image, a formation method of a colored image of a *color filter* suitable for use in *solid state camera devices*, liquid crystal display devices and the like, and a formation

Art Unit: 1752

method of a color filter, in which the steps are repeated to form a *multi-colored image* on the same substrate (see abstract and pg.2, lines 3-5, 9-11).

The Composition 4 shown in Table 1 contains 55 wt.% of copolymer of vinylphenol and styrene (in the ratio of 1:1), 16 wt.% of hexamethoxymelamine (a crosslinking agent), 6 wt.% of photoacid generator, 24 wt.% of a pigment (these wt.%'s are calculated by the Examiner based on the solid contents of the composition), and a solvent (308 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the solid contents, as calculated by the Examiner). Kurata does not explicitly disclose the present Mw range of claim 1. However, the prior art teaches (pg.4, lines 1-2) that it is particularly preferable that his resin-based material is soluble in aqueous alkaline developing solution, and present specification (pg.10, lines 22-28) states that if the Mw of the alkali-soluble resin is less than 6,000, the solubility of the pattern formed from the colored photosensitive resin composition in the alkaline developer increases so that the film thickness is reduced, and when the Mw exceeds 15,000, the solubility of the exposure portion of the colored photosensitive resin layer in the alkaline developer decreases to that the undissolved residues may remain when the pixels of the color filter are developed. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that the present Mw range would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Therefore, Kurata's teaching would render obvious present invention of claims 1, 2, 6, and 9-12 (since present claim 11 is written in product-by-process claim language,

Art Unit: 1752

and since Kurata teaches a color filter having a multi-colored image on the same substrate, the prior art teaches present color filter of claim 11 even if the prior art's process is different from the present steps cited in claim 11).

With respect to present claim 4, Kurata teaches (pg.6, lines 1-9) that the weight ratio of (resin-based material + photoacid generator): pigment is preferably 90/10 to 40/60. This means that in the Composition 4 of Table 1, for 7.1 g of the copolymer of vinylphenol and styrene and 0.8 g of the photoacid generator, there can be 0.9-12 g of the pigment. This gives 5-54 wt.% of the pigment based on the solid components. Since this range overlaps with present range of claim 4, the prior art's teaching would render present range *prima facie* obvious. In the case "where the [claimed] ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art," a *prima facie* case of obviousness would exist which may be overcome by a showing of unexpected results, In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976). Therefore, Kurata's teaching would render obvious present invention of claim 4.

Kurata teaches that the photoacid generator of his composition is preferably used in the amount of 0.5-30 wt.% based on the solid contents (see pg.5, lines 29-31). Since this range overlaps with present range of claim 5, the prior art's teaching would render present range *prima facie* obvious. See <u>In re Wertheim, supra</u>. Therefore, Kurata' teaching would render obvious present invention of claim 5.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

Application/Control Number: 10/697,875

Art Unit: 1752

the base claim and any intervening claims. Kurata does not teach present amount for his copolymer of vinylphenol and styrene (Kurata uses 55 wt.% of the copolymer).

Page 5

## Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed January 10, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that since present claim language excludes novolak resin, the rejection over Kurata should be withdrawn. However, a novolak resin is not a required component in Kurata's invention. For example, Kurata's Composition 4 only contains a copolymer of vinylphenol and styrene as his resin based material, and a novolak resin is not contained in the composition.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/697,875

Art Unit: 1752

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. J. L.

S. Lee

March 19, 2006

SIN LEE

MARY EXAMINER

Page 6